

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15432 of 1997

Date of Decision: 21.04.2016

Mr. Mohammad Akhtar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Mattewal, Advocate,
for Respondents No.2 and 3.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

Mr. Mattewal states on the basis of the reply filed by the counsel of Engineering & Technology, Bathinda that the service book of the petitioner stands completed and has been remitted to the quarters concerned on July 10, 1996 even before the filing of the writ petition. The second prayer has also been answered inasmuch as the Contributory Provident Fund amounts have been deposited with the next employer i.e. in the office of the Commissioner (Appeals) Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar where the petitioner served at the time of the filing of the writ petition as Clerk-cum-Ahlmad. This explains the absence of the petitioner or his counsel to press the petition. The statements made by Mr. Mattewal are accepted as true and correct and the petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

21.04.2016

manju