

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M- 11760 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 30, 2016.

Pardeep Seth and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr. Advocate
with Mr.Paramvir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the complainant.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.377 dated 18.8.2008
registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station,
Civil Lines, Karnal.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that petitioner
Nos. 2 & 3 have been found innocent in the investigation conducted by the
investigating agency. However, challan has been presented against
petitioner No.1-Pardeep Seth after re-investigation.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners
on instructions from petitioner No.1, who is present in court, submits that
he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to impugn the
final report and consequential proceedings if deemed necessary.

However, in the meanwhile, trial court be directed not to pass

any adverse order against petitioner No.1 for certain period.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

Final report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C has been submitted before the trial court, it may adjourn the proceedings for a period of one month only whereafter proceedings would commence.

Needless to observe that if any fresh petition is preferred by petitioner No.1, he would be at liberty to raise all the pleas available to him. Learned State counsel submits that if any such petition is filed, no objection regarding delay or laches shall be raised.

(Rajan Gupta)
Judge

March 30, 2016.
BB