

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 30.11.2016

CWP No.1369 of 1998

Raj Pal Sharma & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Prabha Sharma, Advocate, for
Ms. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate, for respondents No.4 to 8.

Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Ms. Goyal informs the Court that petitioner No.7 – Uma Gupta has died. So is the case with petitioner No.10 – Saroj Sharma. Ms. Goyal further informs that whereabouts of petitioner No.6 – Roma Jaswant Singh are not known because the School in which she was working has shut down long ago. However, in respect of remaining petitioners, she informs that they have been given their claimed relief by way of administrative orders, which have been produced in photocopies by her. This renders the instant petition infructuous.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case any grievance remains or there has not been full grant of relief claimed, the aggrieved petitioner/s would be at liberty to apply for revival of the instant petition qua them.

30.11.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>