

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Appeal No.S-4480-SB of 2015 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-11.04.2016**

Kaptan Singh and another

...Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate
for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

CRM No.11920 of 2016

Prayer in this application is to place on record the true translated copy of FIR No.660 dated 23.11.2015, under Sections 120-B and 364 IPC, registered at Police Station Sampla revealing the factum of murder of accused Parveen @ Meenu, who was named as accused in FIR No.262 dated 30.7.2012, under Sections 302, 307, 120-B , 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Rohtak.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and the copy of aforementioned FIR is taken on record.

Main case

The appellant has filed the present appeal against the judgment dated 13.7.2015 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Rohtak, whereby for non-production of the accused, to which the appellants were sureties, penalty of Rs.50,000/- was imposed upon each one of them.

Admittedly, the applicants stood sureties to Parveen , who was allegedly killed on 1.5.2015, for which an FIR was lodged. Vide order dated 13.7.2015 learned Sessions Judge, Rohtak has imposed the penalty of Rs.50,000/- upon the appellants for non-production of accused Parveen. Since accused Parveen had died, therefore, there is a justified reason for his non-production on the day when the order dated 13.7.2015 was passed.

In view of the above, the order dated 13.7.2015 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Rohtak is set aside and the present appeal is accordingly allowed.

April 11, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE