

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 23.09.2016

1. CWP No.11422 of 2001

Sohan Lal through LRs

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

2. CWP No.11421 of 2001

Sarbati and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. P.N. Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, in both these cases, places reliance on a Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Sardara Singh and others Vs. The Financial Commissioner and others, 2008(2) RCR (Civil) 744**, to contend that both these writ petitions are squarely covered in favour of the petitioners by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Sardara Singh's** case (supra).

The correctness of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners could not be denied by learned counsel for the State and rightly so, it being a matter of record.

CWP Nos.11422 and 11421 of 2001

In view of the abovesaid undisputed fact situation obtaining in both these cases, the writ petitions having been found squarely covered by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Sardara Singh's** case (supra), are allowed. The impugned orders are hereby set aside. However, liberty is granted to the respondent authorities to decide the surplus area case afresh in the hands of the petitioners, including in the hands of legal representatives of Sohan Lal-petitioner as well as legal representatives of late Sh. Khiali Ram-original big landowner, if so advised.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

23.09.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No