

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRA-S-494-SB of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.03.2016

Harpreet Singh @ Latri @ Butri

.....Applicant

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sahil Khunger, Advocate for the appellant
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

The appellant was convicted for commission of offence punishable under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 vide judgment of conviction dated 1.5.2015 and order of sentence dated 7.5.2015, passed by the learned Judge, Special Court, Amritsar and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of two years and to pay a fine of Rs. 20,000/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for three months, on two counts. Both the sentences were directed to run concurrently.

The short prayer of learned counsel for the appellant is that the appellant has already undergone the actual sentence of nearly one year and six months. Therefore, the sentence of the appellant may be reduced to the period already undergone by him.

Custody certificate filed in Court is taken on record. It shows that as on today, the appellant has already undergone the actual sentence of 1 year 5 months and 20 days.

Keeping in view the period of imprisonment already undergone by the appellant, his sentence of Rigorous Imprisonment for two years on both counts is reduced to the period already undergone by him. He be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

17.03.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge