

904

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14520 of 1997

Date of decision: 30.11.2016

Bir Sain Jain

... Petitioner

Vs.

Cantonment Board and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

In view of the nature of controversy involved, coupled with the fact that there was no interim order, nothing would survive in the present writ petition, after the lapse of a period of about 20 years. This seems to be the reason that nobody is interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

In view of the above, instant writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get the present writ petition revived within a period of three months, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

30.11.2016

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No