

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRA No.S-3824-SB of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 25, 2016.

Bunty

... **Appellant**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Learned Counsel for the appellant presses the appeal only on the quantum of sentence. The lower court record received and the evidence examined in detail. Both the parties heard.

It comes out that in this case 210 grams charas was recovered from the possession of the appellant by the police. She was sentenced to undergo RI for two years and fine of Rs.20,0000/-, in default thereof, further undergo RI for three months. The custody certificate shows that she has already undergone actual sentence of 7 months and 20 days as on 24.2.2016. Learned Counsel for the appellant prays that since the appellant is a woman, therefore, a lenient view may be taken.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances, the

sentence of RI for two years is reduced to 8 months. The fine is also reduced from Rs.20,000/- to Rs.5,000/-. However, the default clause is maintained.

With this modification in sentence, the appeal is dismissed.

February 25, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge