

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-15612 of 1996

Decided on : 09.05.2016

Satwant Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

*Punjab Women and Children Development and Welfare
Corporation and another*

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present : Mr.G.S.Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rajiv Narain Raina, J. (Oral)

As per office note, service is complete. No one appears for the respondents. They are proceeded ex parte.

The prayer of the petitioner involves double action; firstly, the Court is asked to retrench the second respondent from service and reinstate the petitioner in service as Project Officer with continuity of service.

The claim is propounded on the showing that respondent No.2 is junior to the petitioner. The petitioner was retrenched as Project Officer before the present writ petition was filed. She made representations which bore no fruit. Respondent No.2 has been retained in service on the post of Project Officer whereas the petitioner has not been called. This means that the petitioner is not in service for the last two decades.

The claim has lost its meaning by passage of time. This petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

09.05.2016

sd