

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision:24.2.2016

CWP No.16594 of 1995

Dev Raj & ors.		... Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab & ors.		... Respondents

CWP No.17411 of 1995

Sh. Mohan Lal & ors.		... Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab & anr.		... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. This order will dispose of the above cited cases bearing CWPs No. 16594 and 17411 of 1995 as common questions of law and fact are involved in them which can conveniently be decided by a common order.

2. I cannot see my way to distinguish in principle the decision of this Court delivered in CWP No.10759 of 1990, titled as 'Rajinder Pal Gautam & ors. v. State of Punjab & ors.', allowing the petition on May 30, 2008 on the dispute with respect to qualifications of ITI of two years Diploma course with Matriculation in their relation to admissibility of higher pay scales. The Court has held that Pump Operators cannot be picked out for hostile discrimination when all other categories with

qualification of matriculation and ITI have been granted the pay scale of Rs.140-300 then they must also have same treatment. The Court has granted this pay scale to those who possessed the prescribed qualifications just as the present petitioners do.

3. These petitions are squarely covered by the decision rendered in *Rajinder Pal Gautam* and are allowed in the same terms.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

24.2.2016
monika