

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.08.23 14:17
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.13903 of 1997

Date of Decision: 17.08.2016

Gurdip Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Atma Ram, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondents-State

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been regularly promoted as Senior Assistant/Inspectors vide Annexures 'A' and 'B', which are attached with ***C.M. No.19795 of 2006***.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, nothing survives in the petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case, still the petitioners are aggrieved by any action of the respondents or something which has not been granted to them, they are at liberty to move application for recalling of the order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

17.08.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

Yes