

311

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-644-1987(O&M)

Date of decision : 18.05.2016

Tejbir Singh @ Tegbir Singh and another

... Appellants

Versus

Mam Chand

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Somnath Saini, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

This Court vide order dated 27.04.2016 sent the notice of the hearing of the appeal to Mr. S.C. Mehta son of Mr. P.C. Mehta and as well as the parties.

As per the office report, appellant No.1 is residing abroad and appellant No.2 has died.

No steps have been taken to bring on record the LRs of appellant No.2.

It appears that the appellant(s) are not interesting in pursuing the present appeal and accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, the liberty is granted to the appellant(s) to revive the

matter, in case, something survives in the appeal.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

18.05.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE