

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44039-2004 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 11, 2016

Ramesh Kumar Jain and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for
Mr.C.M.Munjhal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.P.S.Paul, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Sanjay Tangri, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Record received.

Learned proxy counsel submits that he has the instructions to state at bar for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to challenge the impugned order whereby the petitioner was discharged under Section 406, IPC,

and charged under Section 420, IPC, by way of criminal revision petition before the Court of Session at first instance.

So ordered.

In case the petitioner presents the criminal revision petition along with an application for condonation of delay in filing the said petition within two months of passing of this order, the application for condonation of delay shall be decided keeping in view the fact that the petitioner had approached this Court.

January 11, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE