

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-2887-SB of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.11.2016

Satyawan

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.
for the State.

Jitendra Chauhan, J.

The present appeal is directed against the judgment dated 01.04.2016, passed by Sessions Judge, Jind, vide which the accused/appellant was acquitted of the charges, however, the case property, if any was ordered to be confiscated to the State and be disposed of subject to decision of appeal or revision.

The learned counsel for the appellant states that since the appeal has been acquitted of the charges, therefore, there is no purpose to confiscate the vehicle with the State.

The learned State counsel fairly concedes the factum of his acquittal and states that that the acquittal has not been challenged

by the State.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the appellant has been acquitted of the charges, vide judgment dated 01.04.2016, the present appeal is allowed. It is ordered that the case property i.e. motor cycle be restored back to the appellant without further delay after verifying the identity of the appellant.

07.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No