

CRA-S-2082-SB-2015

230

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-14551-2015 in/and**CRA-S-2082-SB-2015*****Date of decision: 28.05.2016****SURMUKH SINGH OTHERS**..... Petitioners*

Versus

*STATE OF PUNJAB**..... Respondent***CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**CRM-14551-2015**

The present application filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act has been filed for condonation of delay of 74 days in filing the present appeal.

For the reasons enumerated in the application, the same is allowed. Delay of 74 days in filing the present appeal is hereby condoned subject to all just exceptions.

CRA-S-2082-SB-2015

This is the appeal against the judgement of acquittal dated 30.08.2014 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana whereby the petitioners were acquitted of the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, read with Section 34 of IPC.

It comes out there are two cross cases.

CRA-S-2082-SB-2015

I have gone through the impugned judgement of acquittal dated 30.08.2014 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana. The perusal of the judgement shows that the lower Court after discussing the evidence has given sound reasoning and from its face, the judgment cannot be called illegal or perverse.

Since there is no illegality and perversity in the findings of the lower court judgment, therefore, the present appeal stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 28, 2016
Suresh Kumar