

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1094 of 2000

Date of Decision: 10.11.2016

Satbir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate,
with Mr. Raman B.Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

Ms. Goyal, on instructions from Devinder Clerk O/o District Education Officer, Jind states that the writ has been rendered infructuous since relief prayed for has been granted. In support of the statement, original record has been produced by Ms. Goyal being the service book of the petitioner in which is pasted at between pages 28 and 29 Order No.346/11 dated December 31, 2010 verified by the Headmaster Government Middle School, Anoopgarh, Jind re-fixing the pay of the petitioner under second and third proviso to Rule 7 of the HCS (Revised Pay) Rules, 1998 and 15 of HCS (ACP) Rules, 1998 as the case may be and it has been further ordered that if any recovery had been made from the employee due to re-fixation of pay under FD letter dated August 22, 2003 (which stands withdrawn) is also ordered to be refunded and revised pension & other retiral benefits have been ordered to be released immediately. A photocopy of the same has been made in Court and provided to the learned counsel for the petitioner and the service book in original produced is returned to the custody of the learned

Law Officer.

This renders the petition infructuous. In case, still the petitioner has any grievance he is still free to make application in the disposed of petition for any further orders as may be just and necessary.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

10.11.2016
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No