

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

I.

Civil Writ Petition No.330 of 1994

Date of decision: 19.1.2016

Parkash Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

II.

Civil Writ Petition No.2654 of 1995

Darshan Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

BBMB and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.S.S.Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sachin Mittal, Advocate,
for the respondents

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of the aforesaid two writ petitions as
common questions of law and fact are involved in both the writ petitions.

It was given out in the index of this case while seeking notice of motion that a similar petition is pending consideration of this Court being CWP No.16083 of 1993 titled **Ram Paul and others v. BBMB and others**; The aforesaid writ petition has been dismissed though for non-prosecution by the learned Single Judge on 13.3.2014, the downloaded copy of which has been produced at the hearing by the learned counsel for the respondents but the decision at the same time expresses opinion of the Court which reasoning is adopted in this case which is as follows : -

“Considering the fact that even the Punjab State Electricity Board had corrected its mistake and found that pay scale of the Gauge Reader was ₹ 750-1410 with initial start of ₹ 770/-, prima facie, no case is made out for grant of pay scale of ₹ 950-1800 with initial start of ₹ 1000/- to the petitioners, who are working as Gauge Readers with BBMB.”

This present petition will meet the same fate on merits which is ordered to be dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

January 19, 2016
Paritosh Kumar