

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRA-S-7-SB-2006**

**Date of decision : March 10, 2016**

Amrik Singh @ Mika

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURMIT RAM**

**\*\*\***

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not? No / Yes
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No / Yes

**\*\*\***

Present : Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Deepak Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

**\*\*\***

**GURMIT RAM, J.**

1. This appeal is preferred by the abovesaid appellant-Amrik Singh @ Mika against the judgment and order of sentence dated 29.07.2005 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar in criminal case bearing FIR No.386 dated 13.09.2003, Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar under Sections 307, 454, 380, 452, 511, 324, 323 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code ("IPC"- for short), vide which he (appellant) was held guilty for the offences punishable under Sections 393

and 394, IPC and awarded sentences as detailed below:-

|                 |                                                                            |                                                                                                    |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>393, IPC</b> | To undergo rigorous imprisonment for two years and to pay fine of ₹500/-.  | In default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one month. |
| <b>394, IPC</b> | To undergo rigorous imprisonment for five years and to pay fine of ₹500/-. | In default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one month. |

Being not satisfied with the abovesaid impugned judgment and order of sentence, the appellant has come up before this Court by way of instant appeal.

2. At the time of arguments, learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate in respect of appellant (convict)-Amrik Singh @ Mika in the shape of affidavit of Sh. Lalit Kohli, PPS, Deputy Superintendent Central Jail, Jalandhar at Kapurthala, copy of which has been supplied to learned counsel for the appellant.

3. As per the custody certificate, the appellant was released from jail in this case on 22.07.2006 after completion of his sentence, which includes actual sentence of two years, ten months and ten days and remission of two years, one month and twenty days.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that since the appellant has already undergone his sentence of imprisonment in this case and has been released from jail, so this appeal may be disposed of in

accordance thereto as having been rendered infructuous.

5. In view of the above, this appeal stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous and disposed of accordingly.

**March 10, 2016**

*Gaurav Sorot*

**( GURMIT RAM )  
JUDGE**