

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11169 of 1997

Date of decision: 28.5.2016

Dr. Satish Kumari

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The dispute in this case was a seniority issue between the petitioner and the non-official respondent. The dispute was whether the cadre of Lecturers Commercial & Secretarial Practice and Lecturers Stenography is different or not. The petitioner asserted to the affirmative. The respondents denied. The State interpreted the rule as both falling in one cadre. The office note mentions the petitioner is dead. Being a seniority dispute, the cause of action has abated and therefore, it is not necessary to bring the LRs of the deceased petitioner on record to perform an empty formality.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

28.5.2016
monika