

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.09.30 11:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.12255 of 1996

Date of Decision: 28.09.2016

V.K. Bansal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, A.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners was neither present on the last date of hearing nor today. It appears that he is not interested in pursuing his case.

Affidavit of Arun Sekhri, I.A.S. Additional Registrar (Admn.) Co-operative Societies, Punjab, Chandigarh on behalf of respondent No.1 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Dev Raj, Superintendent, submits that the petitioners as well as private respondent(s) have retired from service and now nothing survives in the present petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

However, in case, still anything survives in the petition, the petitioners are at liberty to move application for recalling of the order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

28.09.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No