

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRA-S-934-SB of 2004
Date of Decision : 28.4.2016**

Gurpreet Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Mr.R.S. Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. N.S. Gill, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Deepak Garg, AAG, Punjab.

GURMIT RAM, J.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that this appeal has been rendered infructuous since the appellant has already undergone the entire sentence awarded to him in this case and the same may be disposed of accordingly.

As per the custody certificate filed on the last date of hearing, appellant Gurpreet Singh has undergone the total actual period of sentence of three years, one month and nine days in this case. There is a note in the said custody certificate that the sentence of the convict was completed on 20.6.2006 after giving the benefit of Govt. Remission & Jail remission of 3 years, 10 months and 21 days and detained in lieu of fine. He was subsequently released on 13.7.2006 upon deposit of fine at jail gate.

Vide the impugned judgment, he was held guilty for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the IPC and awarded with the sentence of rigorous imprisonment of seven years along with fine of ₹2,000/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for six months.

In the light of above, this appeal stands dismissed being not pressed as having been rendered infructuous and disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to the quarter concerned for its strict compliance.

28.4.2016
Brij

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE