

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Appeal S-2418-SB of 2009
Date of Decision : December 6, 2016

Jainuddin

.....Appellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

Appellant-Jainuddin had filed the present appeal for challenging the judgment and order dated 10.8.2009 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, whereby, he was convicted under Section 458 IPC and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for seven years and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-. He was also convicted under Section 459 IPC and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for ten years and to pay a fine of Rs.8,000/-. He was further convicted under Section 380 IPC and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for three years and to pay a fine of Rs.2,000/-. All the substantive sentences were ordered to run concurrently. The period of custody already undergone during investigation or trial of the case was ordered to be set off against the substantive sentences.

As per the custody certificate produced by the learned State counsel, the appellant was released on 29.3.2012 after completing the sentence of imprisonment imposed upon him and paying the amount of fine. He was, thereafter, detained as under-trial prisoner in case FIR No.88 of 2000, Police Station Hodal. It appears that as the appellant has already undergone the entire sentence of imprisonment and deposited the fine, he is not interested in prosecuting the present appeal any further. That explains as to why there is no representation on his behalf.

With the completion of his sentence of imprisonment and deposit of fine amount, the appeal filed by the appellant is rendered infructuous and, accordingly, disposed of.

December 6, 2016
amit rana

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No