

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.3139 of 2002
Date of decision: 07.11.2016

Amit Kumar and another

... Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate for the appellants.
Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for respondent No.3 – Indian Oil Corporation (IOC), the beneficiary of the acquisition, points out that in an appeal preferred by IOC against the same award, dated 31.05.2002, this court, vide order and judgment dated 26.07.2010, rendered in RFA No.2886 of 2002 [**Indian Oil Corporation Limited v. Om Parkash and others**], had set aside the award and the matter was remanded to the reference court for re-decision. He submits that the reference court re-decided the matter and awarded the same compensation to the landowners as was awarded earlier. So much so, in an appeal preferred by the appellants against the subsequent award, this court has further enhanced the compensation in RFA No.4502 of 2013 [**Sampuran Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others**], decided on 06.09.2016. Therefore, he submits that nothing substantive survives in this appeal and the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

November 7, 2016
Rajan

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES/NO

Whether Reportable:

YES/NO