

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-8821-2014

Date of decision : 23.04.2016

Harminder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Kirpal Singh (deceased) through LRs Satvinderpal Singh Shoker & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives in the present revision petition as the counter claim has already been dismissed.

In view of the aforementioned facts, the revision petition is rendered infructuous and accordingly, disposed of. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive, in case, information provided to them found to be incorrect.

Disposed of, accordingly.

23.04.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE