

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CIVIL REVISION NO.8770 OF 2014 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: JULY 11, 2016

Pritam Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Banshi Lal

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent states that the petitioner has handed over the vacant possession to the respondent-landlord and, therefore, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner, on the other hand, states that he has no instructions.

Keeping in view the statement made by counsel for the respondent, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case the statement made by counsel for the respondent is not found to be correct.

July 11, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE