

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 8742 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 9, 2016

Shiv Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

Sat Pal and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. RS Manhas, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate, for
Mr. RS Chauhan, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The revision is against the order declining to condone the delay which was sought to be explained by the petitioner as having resulted by the death of the father. The appeal is in relation to a dispute regarding immovable property. I am of the view that the case is required to be dealt with after condoning the delay. For the inconvenience caused to the respondent and taking too long a time of nearly a year even after the death of the father, I impose Rs. 5,000/- as costs to be paid to the respondent within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the revision is allowed.

May 9, 2016
prem

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE