

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.987 of 2016

Date of Decision : 10.02.2016

Prithipal Singh @ Pritpal Singh Sohal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sarabjot and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order dated 07.12.2015 passed by the Rent Controller, Mohali rejecting an application under Order 18 Rule 3A CPC moved on behalf of the petitioner-tenant.

The landlord instead of examining themselves as their own witness first of all examined other witnesses. Before they could appear the petitioner moved the extant application seeking prayer to debar them from appearing to give testimony on the grounds mentioned in Order 18 Rule 3A CPC. This application has been rejected by the Rent Controller by holding that Order 18 Rule 3A CPC is not an inflexible rule and the Court can allow a party to appear as his own witness even after examining other witnesses

unless some prejudice is caused to the other party. I find myself in agreement with this exposition of law.

In the circumstances, it cannot be said that the order of the Rent Controller is vitiated or perverse.

Resultantly, the revision petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 10, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**