

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.971 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.09.02.2016**

Pargat Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Jit Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The issues are framed on the basis of pleadings and not vice-a-versa. If there is an issue framed by the trial Court whether the defendant had prescribed title to the property by adverse possession, there cannot a situation that the defendant should be permitted to bring a statement that he has title to the property by way of adverse possession. Ths amendment was sought after the stage of remand at the trial. The trial Court has rejected it.

2. I will not find any reason for interference in the revision petition. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 09, 2016
Pankaj*