

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.968 of 2016

Date of Decision : 22.04.2016

Rajinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shri Ram and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. J.S. Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises on the ground of non payment of rent.

The petitioner had raised two pleas. Firstly that the respondent was not his landlord and secondly that the power of attorney executed by the respondent did not grant the power to the attorney to collect rent or to file rent petition. In this connection, both the Courts below noticed that in an earlier suit the petitioner had himself mentioned that the respondent was his landlord and consequently declined his plea that there was no relationship of landlord and tenant. As regards the power of attorney, both

the Courts below noticed that it has been categorically mentioned in the Power of Attorney that the attorney is authorized to deal with tenanted premises in any and every manner he liked including appointment of sub-attorney and to transfer or sell the same and that the only person to dispute the validity of GPA was the original owner who never did so and then came to the conclusion that the owner gave all powers to the attorney.

I find no reason to take a different view to that taken by the Courts below. Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 22, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE