

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-D-1633-DB-2013

Date of decision: February 18, 2016

Mamta

..... Appellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S.MANN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

T.P.S.MANN, J.(Oral)

Appellant-Mamta has filed the present appeal for challenging the judgment dated 25.09.2013 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (Exclusive Court Of The Cases Of Heinous Crime Against Women), Karnal vide which respondent no.2-Narain Dass stands acquitted of the charges under Section 376 read with Section 511 as well as under Section 506 IPC.

Upon notice, learned State counsel put in appearance. However, respondent no. 2 could not be served as it was reported that he had already sold the house and left the place. The appellant sought time for supplying the

present address of the said respondent but could not do so despite availing three opportunities.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite best efforts, the appellant could not obtain the present address of respondent no.2.

In view of the above, the appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution. However, in case the appellant is able to obtain the present address of respondent no.2, she shall be at liberty to make an application for revival of the appeal.

(T.P.S.MANN)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

February 18, 2016
Harish Kumar