

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 8919 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision :06.01.2016

Ramniwas

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kek Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed challenging the order dated 26.11.2015, vide which the application of the petitioner for expert examination of thumb impression of the respondent on the agreement to sell was dismissed as well as the evidence of the petitioner was closed by the learned trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner could not even tender in evidence the copy of the revenue record to prove the ownership of the respondent/defendant. He stated that the petitioner will be satisfied if one opportunity is afforded to him to tender in evidence the copy of the revenue record, which is *per se admissible* and he give up other claim made in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has only prayed

for permission to produce the revenue record in evidence which is *per se admissible* in evidence. If, this request is considered that will not cause any delay in the disposal of the suit. So, the petitioner is granted one opportunity subject to Rs. 2000/- as costs to tender in evidence the revenue record with respect to the ownership of the respondent/defendant qua the suit land on the next date of hearing fixed by the learned trial Court after the date of this order.

The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

06.01.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**