

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8573 of 2014
Date of decision : 03.03.2016

Harjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Piara Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for respondent no.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the order dated 31.05.2013, whereby application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction sought in the suit restraining the defendants No.1 and 2 from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff and not to dispossess him except in due course of law, has been dismissed.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that this Court vide order dated 24.12.2014 while

issuing notice of motion ordered for maintaining of status-quo regarding possession. Suit is at the stage of the plaintiff evidence and in case, the appropriate direction is issued to the trial Court, he will conclude the evidence within the time frame as this Court may deem it appropriate.

Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-defendant submits that his client has seriously disputed the alleged possession of the plaintiff and both the Courts below have concurrently held that once property has been mortgaged, possession, and the property redeemed to be illegal.

Be that as it may, without pondering on merits and demerits vis-a-vis possession, I am of the view that revision petition can be disposed of with a direction to trial Court to decide the suit as expeditiously as possible within a period of nine months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In the meantime, status-quo as noticed in the order dated 22.12.2014 regarding the possession shall continue to be remain in force.

Revision petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

03.03.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**