

Civil Revision-8816-2015**Date of decision : 05.01.2016****SATPAL JAIN****..... PETITIONER****VERSUS****RAM SARAN BANAGA****..... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI*********

Present : Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashdev Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent-caveator.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would not press this petition on merits but, since this is a business venture, he may be give adequate time to vacate the premises. Learned counsel for the respondent-caveator has also stated that in view of the fair stand he would not oppose the grant of adequate time. The petitioner wants one year and undertakes that he would vacate the premises on the expiration of this period without forcing the respondent to file a petition for execution while the respondent states that adequate time in the present case would be four months.

In view of the request and undertaking given by the petitioner I deem it appropriate to grant 8 months time. Let the

petitioner now vacate the premises on or before 01.09.2016. He would also continue to pay the rent along with water and electricity charges in advance by the 7th of every month.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

January 05, 2016
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE