

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
CR No. 8800 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 8, 2016

ARCHANA ARORA
09/02/2016 10:57
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Amarjit Singh

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

Lal Singh

..... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Sunny K Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the decision rendered in C.R.No.3232 of 2015 whereby the revision petition has been disposed of by assigning some reasons, no cause of action survives in the present revision. The same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

The revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

**February 8 , 2016
archana**