

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 874 of 2016

Date of decision :08.02.2016

Bharti Infratel Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ambika Roller Flour Mill Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has already filed the appeal, though delayed one, which is still pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh and the learned First Appellate Court has framed the issues on the application for condonation of delay. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that the petitioner is ready to secure the decretal amount in any manner the learned Executing Court requires. He pleaded that the office of the petitioner may not be attached as it will cause irreparable loss and closing of the office will jeopardize working of company.

The present revision petition is disposed of with the observation that if, any application is moved before the learned Execution Court by the petitioner-company to secure

the decretal amount in the manner decide by the learned
Executing Court, the warrants of attachment may not be
enforced.

08.02.2016

S.khan

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE