

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.8740 of 2015
Date of Decision.29.02.2016**

Shinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Bakhsish Singh now deceased through LRs

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vishal Ranjan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The judgment debtor has an objection that the property which is brought for sale is not properly described. The counsel for the petitioner is unable to help me with any details as to how the property should be described. I think the attempt of the judgment debtor is only to postpone the day of sale and I find nothing tenable for intervention in the revision petition.
2. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 29, 2016
Pankaj*