

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 8712 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 22, 2016

Tilak Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

Mohinder and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. VB Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The order in challenge is the contempt notice issued by the Magistrate. He has no such power and the impugned order is quashed.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent says that respondent No.1 would file an appropriate petition before this Court for the contempt of courts below. He is at liberty to take such course as it is competent before a court of jurisdiction under the Contempt of Courts Act.

March 22, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE