

219.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8312 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:23.04.2016

Dr. Bimal Anjuman

... Petitioner

versus

Aasra Foundations (Registered), Muktsar

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

CM No.8453-CII of 2015

Application is allowed, as prayed for. Annexures P6 and P7 are taken on record.

FAO No.8312 of 2014

1. The defendant who wanted to prove a particular document said to have been acknowledged by the Principal of the College cited the Principal as a witness. She denied her signature. When petitioner had an adverse evidence of his own side, he wanted to examine an expert to prove the signature. The court has declined it.

2. I find no purpose will be served in allowing the expert to testify on the signature of a witness when the witness cited by the petitioner himself has denied it. The version on oath by a witness cited by the defendant will constitute an admission and no expert evidence can be brought in to abrogate the admission. The application could not have been entertained and if the court below had rejected the same, I find no reason for making intervention in favour of the petitioner.

3. The order impugned is maintained and the revision petition is dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

23.04.2016
sanjeev