

247

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-8604-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 03.08.2016

Godawri Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Om Parkash

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Kulbhushan Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Kulbhushan Soi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives in the present revision petition as the suit has been decided.

In view of the aforementioned statement, the revision petition has been rendered infructuous and accordingly, the same is ordered to be dismissed as infructuous.

03.08.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/ No

Whether Reportable Yes/ No