

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8292 of 2014
Date of Decision: May 18th, 2016

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajwant Kaur alias Kamal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Surjit Singh Swaich, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurcharan Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The present revision is against the order, whereby the divorce petition has been dismissed on account of non-payment of the maintenance pendente lite. The aforementioned order is appealable under Section 28 of the Hindu Marriage Act.

When Confronted with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court for withdrawal of the present revision to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

May 18th, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE