

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8532 of 2016
Date of decision : 17.12.2016

Smt. Balbir Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Karamjeet Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 04.07.2016 whereby, remaining evidence of the petitioner was closed by court orders.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the evidence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff and appraised the paper book.

In order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner-plaintiff to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-plaintiff shall be given liberty

to conclude the evidence, subject to the cost of Rs.5000/-, which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the Courts below shall stands restored.

The revision petition is allowed.

17.12.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No