

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09-01-2016 10:44
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 8568 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : January 25, 2016

Rajender

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sumitra and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the suit has been decided, therefore the revision petition filed against the order declining application for amendment of the plaint has become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 25 , 2016
archana