

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CM No.16683-CII of 2016 in/and
Civil Revision No.8232 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 26, 2016

Sat Narain through his LRs

...Petitioners

Versus

Ashok Kumar Jain and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Ashok Kr. Sehrawat, Advocate
for Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM No.16683-CII of 2016

Prayer in this application is for disposal of the main revision petition as withdrawn in the light of the compromise which has been entered into between the parties and in support of the said aspect, affidavits of the parties have been appended along with the said application.

Notice of the application to the counsel for the respondents-landlords.

Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the application being allowed.

Counsel for the parties have further consented that the hearing of the main revision petition may be preponed from 25.10.2016 and the same be taken up today for final disposal.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the parties,

hearing of the main revision petition along with the applications is preponed from 25.10.2016 and the same are taken up for consideration on board for final disposal.

Counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from Shri Ajay Kumar son of Shri Sat Narain, states that the present revision petition may be disposed of as withdrawn in the light of the compromise which has been entered into between the parties. He further states that affidavits to this effect dated 19.07.2016 have been filed along with CM Nos.16683-CII of 2016.

Counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Mr. Ashok Kumar Jain-respondent No.1, accepts the factum, as has been asserted by the counsel for the petitioners on instructions and states that he has no objection to the prayer made in the application as compromise has been entered into between the parties.

At this stage, counsel for the respondents states that Civil Revision No.2897 of 2015 preferred by the respondents-landlords against certain findings which were recorded by the learned Appellate Authority, is also pending before this Court in which he would by moving an appropriate application seek withdrawal of the same.

The statement is taken on record.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed.

The main revision petition is permitted to be withdrawn.

CM No.4817-CII of 2016, CM No.1726-CII of 2015 preferred by the respondents and CM No.27016-CII of 2014 preferred by the petitioners have been rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such.

August 26, 2016
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No