

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R NO. 8481 OF 2015 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 11.05.2016**

Ramesh Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Surinder Kaur and another

...Respondents

Present : Mr. Avinash Mandla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders dated 24.01.2013 and 24.09.2015 passed by the Courts below evicting the petitioner from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 31.05.2017, without forcing the respondents to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the monthly contractual rent in advance by the 7th of every month, and pay

the other admissible charges regularly. She further undertakes to pay up to date arrears of rent, if any, within a period of one month from today.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

This revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

MAY 11, 2016
shalini