

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.8478 of 2015

Date of Decision.01.08.2016

Lakhjinder Kaur Jauhal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Nirbhai Singh Grewal

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:-

“Civil revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for quashing/dropping the proceedings arisen in civil suits Annexure P-13, Annexure P-16, Annexure P-17 and Annexure P-19 pending in different civil courts at Ludhiana. Filing of above said civil suits and thereafter, continuation of the same is totally an abuse of the process of law.”

The abovesaid prayer was made on the premise that there is already a litigation decided between the parties and the aforementioned revision petition is for seeking direction to the trial Court not to entertain any suit of the respondent by exercising powers under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. In my view, if at all, the petitioner is aggrieved of the pendency of the suits, in view of the fact that the issue at *lis* between the

parties has already been decided, he is at liberty to move an appropriate application in accordance with law but not in the mode and manner as has been chosen. In case, such an application seeking rejection of plaint has already been moved, the petitioner shall be at liberty to pursue the same.

The revision petition is dismissed but with liberty as aforesaid.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 01, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No