

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-8152-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision:23.02.2016

SWARAN DASS THROUGH HIS LRs

...Petitioners

VERSUS

HARI RAM THROUGH HIS LRs

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the LRs No.(i), (ii) and (iv) of respondent No.1.

DARSHAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for LRs No.(i), (ii) and (iv) of respondent No.1
has informed that though the application for restoration of the suit has been
filed but the same is still pending and has not been decided so far.

The present revision petition has been preferred against the
order dated 04.10.2011 passed by learned trial Court, directing the parties to
maintain the status quo with regard to the possession till decision of the suit.

It is an admitted fact that during the pendency of the present
revision petition, the main suit filed by the respondents has already been
dismissed in default.

Though, the respondents have filed the application for
restoration of suit as stated by learned counsel for LRs No.(i), (ii) and (iv)
of respondent No.1 but the suit has not been restored so far. So, the present

revision petition has become infructuous and the same is hereby disposed of being infructuous.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to move the application for revival of the present revision petition, in case, the suit is restored by the learned trial Court.

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 23, 2016
rajneesh