

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.834 of 2016
Date of Decision.08.02.2016

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Land Acquisition Officer and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

The revision petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment of Division Bench in Risal Singh and another Vs. Union of India and others 2011(3) RCR (Civil) 268. It will be open for the petitioner to apply for refund of income tax and if such a plea is made, it shall be considered by the appropriate authorities in accordance with law.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 08, 2016
Pankaj*