

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.8270 of 2015
Date of Decision.17.02.2016**

Manoj KumarPetitioner

Vs.

Puran SinghRespondent

Present: Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The plaintiff who lost possession of the property to the landlord has filed suit for restoration of his possession. Pending suit, it appears that he has asked for status quo of restoration of possession which the trial Court had granted. The Appellate Court has modified the same.

2. I do not find that there can be an interim restoration of possession when the defendant-landlord has taken possession of the property. The plaintiff will have his right determined at the conclusion of the trial and there can be no interim order therefor. There is no cause for interference. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 17, 2016
Pankaj*