

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.8208 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision.07.01.2016

Magh Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Mehal Singh

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is against the order rejecting an application for filing additional evidence. I set aside the order and direct the document to be received subject to proof and relevancy. For the sheer indiscretion in not filing the document along with written statement at the earliest opportunity when the case was posted for the same, I impose costs of ₹10,000/- to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent. I dispense with notice to the respondent having regard to the nature of order that I have passed without going into the merits of the case.

2. The revision petition is allowed at the stage of admission on the above terms.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 07, 2016

Pankaj*