

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CR-8202-2015 (O&M)

Decided on : 15.02.2016

M/s Khurana Sales Corporation and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Yash Pal Verma

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Varun Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.P.S.Punia, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.P.S.Sullar, Advocate with the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Ajay Tewari, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned senior counsel for the petitioners on instructions from his client states that he would not press this petition on merit but adequate opportunity of time is granted to him to relocate his business.

Learned counsel for the respondent on instructions from his client has stated that in case the petitioner vacates the premises in question by 30.06.2017 without forcing the landlord to file a petition for execution and files an undertaking in this regard in the Executing Court, he would have no objection.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners states that even though the petitioner requires 03 years time, but he is willing to accept this aforesaid condition provided that landlord gives up his

mesne profits. That has also been accepted by the learned senior counsel for the respondent.

In these circumstances, it is directed that in case the petitioner files an undertaking before the executing Court within a month that he will vacate the premises in question voluntarily on or before 30.06.2017, and continue to pay the contractual rent in advance by the 7th of every month and also pay other charges regularly, the landlord will not execute the decree

This petition is dismissed as withdrawn in the aforesaid terms.

February 15, 2016
sd

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE